App. F. IX-4

Previous References

L.B. 61-17-1, 4-12

1939. (L-B)

File No. 150-29

Serial Nos. 1 & 2.

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for June 1930

SUBJECT

Dy: Comr. Kolar, requests that the Comptroller may be authorised to deduct the amount of House tax and other cesses amounting to Rs 1,757-13-0 due from Sir Hajee Ismail Sait on account of House and other taxes under the Mysore Mines Regn. from the rent payable by Govt to him and credit it to the account of the Sanitary Board

— — o — —

Nil. 2. To The Dy-Comr. - Kolar Dist

No. L 8993 Dy / 13.6.30.

States that the Law does not permit of the procedure proposed, and that suitable action in other ways may be taken for the recovery of the amount of House tax & other cesses due from Sir Hajee Ismail Sait

General and Revenue Secretariat. 2-B File No. 157 of 1929.

Serial No. 1.

Letter No.A1.C.34/28-29 dated 15th February 1930, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, requesting that the Comptroller may be authorised to deduct the amount of House tax and other cesses -- amounting to Rs.1,751-13-0 due from Sir Hajee Ismail Sait on account of House and other taxes under the Mysore Mines Regulation ~~dues~~, from the rent payable by Govt to him and credit it to the account ~~Robertsonpet cesses and taxes~~ of the Sanitary Board.

The Deputy Commissioner of Kolar requests that a sum of Rs.1,751-13-0 due to the Sanitary Board -- payable by Sir Haji Ismail Sait may be deducted from the rent payable by Government for the Munsiff's Court and Quarters at Robertsonpet.

Taxes due on Munsiff Court buildings:-

2. Sir Haji has paid certain amounts with the request that they may be credited for the dues on certain specified properties for specified periods and repudiates all further claims. If credit is -- given to the amounts already received in the manner specified by Sir Haji and as noted in the statement put up (flag X), it will be seen that the dues on the Munsiff's Court buildings up to the end of --- 1925-26 have been completely discharged and that in respect of the years 1926-27 to 1928-29 the amount due is as noted below:-

Year.	Demand	Collection.	Balance.
1926-27.	171-12- 0	Rs.19- 8- 0	Rs.152- 4- 0
1927-28.	171-12- 0	Rs.19- 8- 0	Rs.152- 4- 0
1928-29.	203- 4- 0	Rs.19- 8- 0	Rs.183-12- 0
		Total	Rs.488- 4- 0

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

	Munsiff Court		Bazaar and other properties.	
	Demand Rs.	Paid.	Demand. Rs.	Paid. Rs.
1915-16	1-14- 0		3-12- 0	
1916-17	19- 8- 0	21- 6-0	124-12- 0	52-12- 0
1917-18	19- 8- 0	21- 6- 0	124-12- 0	52-12- 0
1918-29.	19- 8- 0	21- 6- 0	139-12- 0	52-12- 0
1919-20.	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	27-12- 0	27-12- 0
1920-21.	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	27-12- 0	27-12- 0
1921-22.	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	27-12- 0	27-12- 0
1922-23.	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	39-12- 0	39-12- 0
1923-24	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	91-12- 0	39-12- 0
1924-25	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	91-12- 0	39-12- 0
1925-26	19- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	129-12- 0	39-12- 0
	~~195- 0- 0~~	~~200- 0- 0~~		
1926-27	171-12- 0	19- 8- 0	306-15- 0	39-12- 0
1927-28	171-12- 0	19- 8- 0	306-15- 0	39-12- 0
1928-29	203- 4- 0	19- 8- 0	342-15- 0	38-12- 0
Grand Total.	743-10- 0	159- 2- 0	1,786- 1- 0	518-12-0
Balance.	484- 8- 0		1,267- 5- 0	

Total 1,751-13-0.

General and Revenue Secretariat. L. B File No. 150 of 1927.

Serial No.

Under bye-laws 6 and 7 of the bye-laws approved in G.O.No.L.6933-L.B.61-17-12 dated 10th June 1918 the Sanitary Board cannot recover more than one year's arrears from the occupier viz., the Government and the current year's tax may also be recovered from Government on failure to recover the amount due from Sir Haji. The Government on payment of the amount demanded by the Sanitary Board may deduct the amount payable to Sir Haji. The ~~Government~~ Sanitary Board must therefore make other arrangements for the recovery of the tax due for the year 1926-27.

3. Taxes due on other properties:-

The same statement (flagged X) shows that on the other properties the taxes due for the 4 years 1919-20 to 1922-23 have been fully discharged and that the arrears of Rs.3-12-0 shown as due for --- 1915-16 along with the sum of Rs.1-14-0 due for the same year on the Munsiff's Court building are set off by larger collections shown against the latter building during the 3 years 1916-17 to 1918-19. The arrears due for the years 1916-17 to 1918-19 amount to Rs.231/- and the claim being more than six years old appears to be barred by limitation. Shop-tax was levied under the mohatarfa rules and there is no specific provision in the Mohatarfa rules that the tax is a charge on the property. In Government Order No.14246-54/L.R.574-05-2 dated 8th May 1906 Government have observed that the levy of mohatarfa on houses and shops is not in the nature of land tax. As regards the claim from 1923-24, the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

General and Revenue Secretariat. L & B File No. 15 D of 1929.

Serial No.

the Deputy Commissioner and the President of the Sanitary Board has to recover the taxes under the law for the time being in force and attach the -- property of the defaulter. It is not also clear whether the Deputy Commissioner or the President of the Sanitary Board can attach, for the recovery of the dues, the rent due by Government to the defaulter.

(L477) 17/8

4) In the case of the Hassan Municipality, the ~~President~~ Dy. Comr. of the Hassan Dist attached the pay of a Government Official for recovery of certain amounts due from him and the case is pending consideration by the Law Secretary. The present case also may be referred to the Law Secretary for opinion.

DS
24/2/30

5) This gives the a matter for settlement between the Sanitary Board & Sri Haji Ismail. and the President should consult the legal advice as to the action to be taken by him in regard to the dispute and the manner of its ~~and it does not seem expedient for both to withhold any dues to Government on account of such dues from the Municipality towards the arrears of the Sanitary Board.~~ It may not be expedient to issue any order to the Municipality. Law dept may also be consulted.

2nd Member 28/2

6/10
3/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

6) Yes: Early

Law Secy) DS 27/3(2/3)/1

2-3-30 K.M.R.1. 24/2.

4151
LSG.

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LD File No. 150 of 1929.

46/Law

Serial No. 1)

Copy of the opinion given on the same point in OL 73 of 29 (DNO 47)/LSG) is placed below -

DNO 22/5/30. L.S.G Branch

P. 22/5.



NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P. T. O.

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

M673847 711/259

8) Under section 83 of the Municipal Regulation municipal claims may be recovered "by distress and sale of the moveable property of the defaulter Distress is the act of taking moveable property out of the possession of a wrongdoer to compel the performance of an obligation.(Byrne's Law Dictionary page 310). It is different from attachment, which is a process of placing property under the control of a Court. There cannot be a distress of property which cannot be seized, such as a debt or a chose-in-action. The form of the distraint warrant prescibed in Schedule VI of the Municipal Regulation shows that what is contemplated is only the seizure of "goods and chattles" and not debts or such other actionable claims. Consequently, the salary of an official cannot be proceeded against under section 83 of the Municipal Regulation.

SD P. M.
22/5/30.

'Copy'

P. T. O.

Serial No.

9) The legal opinion is not in favor of sanction of the taxes and ~~from~~ the Same Bon from the rent payable by Govt Samba with reg to para 6.

8930/26/5

10) 2nd Reminder 28/5

11) The legal opn may be communicated to Dc & he may take steps for suitable action

12) 27/5

13) 29/5

14) Wkt- put up for appl 29-5-30

15) 30/5

No. 2 8993 dy 13-6-30 to Dc Samba

Seals mat- the law does not permit ~~the~~ procedure prescribed and requisite- Similar action in other ways may be taken for the recovery of the taxes due from Sir Hajee Ismail Bux

21/6/30

Note—Contn. Sheet

Encls. Enclosure. 4151

Dated, Kolar, the 15th February 1930.

CHIEF SECRETARY 17 FEB. 1930

FROM

A. V. RAMANATHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Department,
Bangalore.

LB 150-29-1

SIR,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Government that Fakhrut-tujjar Khan Bahadur Sir Hajee Ismail Sait, owes on account of House and other taxes under the Mysore Mines Regulation, the sum of Rs.1,751-13-0. On demand being made to him, he contested, in his letter dated 18/23rd April 1929, - that the demand then made was not correct and offered to pay - Rs.778-14-0 which he considered he was liable to pay and urged that the matter might be kept open pending his return from Europe.

I promised him in my letter dated 25th April 1929 to keep the matter open in view of his special request and requested him to pay the sum of Rs.778-14-0 according to his account, <u>without prejudice to the excess which was due and for which details would be given to him</u>. He paid the sum of Rs.778-14-0 and after deducting the Bank commission of Re.1/-, Rs.777-14-0 was credited to his account. With my letter No:A.1.C.34/28-29 dated 22nd December 1929, I sent him the full details showing how after deducting the amount paid by him in April 1929, the sum of Rs.1,751-13-0 was still due, and requested payment. Sir Hajee in his letter No:534 dated 30th January 1930 has taken the stand that his payment of Rs.778-14-0 concludes the account finally. In view of this stand, I have -- directed the Amildar, Bowringpet to take further coercive processes for the recovery of the dues.

As I find, however, that Sir Hajee is being paid by - Government a rent of Rs.1,800/- a year for the Munsiff's Court and quarters at Robertsonpet. I request that the Comptroller may be authorised to deduct the amount due under the Mysore Mines Regulation on account of House tax and other Cesses, Viz:Rs.1,751-13-0 from the rent payable to Sir Hajee and credit it to the account of

Robertsonpet Cesses and taxes.

Copies of the entire correspondence between Sir Hajee and myself from 10th April 1929 to date are sent enclosed for - reference of Government.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

(9

D.O. letter No:A.1.C.532/26-27 dated 10th April 1929,
from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to
Fakhrut-tujjar Sir Khan Bahadur Hajee
Ismail Sait, Kt., Essex Lodge,
Bangalore.

The Amildar, Bowringpet reports that Rs.2,534-3-0 are due from you on account of Mohatarfa dues in Sanitary Board area, Kolar Gold Field. I request the favour of your kindly sending him direct a cheque for this amount as early as practicable. As you are no doubt aware, the Sanitary Board is hard up for funds now and without the co-operation of leading gentleman like you it is difficult to carry on.

I hope you are quite well and that I will have an opportunity of meeting you on the Kolar Gold Field early.

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

(10

Letter No:G.C.654 dated 18/23rd April 1929 from Fakhrut-tujjar Sir Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait, Kt., to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

****

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th instant No:A.1.C.532/26-27, addressed to me to Bangalore which was forwarded to me here, as I am on my way to Europe, sailing per S.S.Viceroy of India on 4th May and in reply, I hasten to inform you that the amount -- claimed for Mohatarfa tax Rs.2,534-3-0 is not correct and there seems to be some mistake, of which I have been corresponding since many years.From the perusal of file I am sure, you will find, there is some mistake. Under the circumstances it is necessary for me to explain, so I beg that you will keep the matter open pending my return from Europe which, I expect, to be at the end of September or early in October.

Meanwhile, thanking you in anticipation.

****

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait, Kt., to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

****

With reference to your D.O. letter No:A.1.C.532/26-27 dated 10/11th instant and my reply thereto dated 18-4-1929 from Bombay, since I completed the execution of my will buisiness at Bombay and having a short time in my hand before sailing for England. I returned to Bangalore and have come here yesterday noon for the inspection of my business, As well asfor the disposal of your claim of - mohatarfa tax and on going through the records and the correspondence, I find the facts of the case are as follows:-

1.	The tax up to 1915-1916 was paid in full and receipt was granted.				
2.	In the year 1916-17, the tax charged for Bazaars Rs.52-12-0 and Munsiff's Court Rs.21-6-0 Total-				Rs. 74- 2- 0
3.	In the year	1917-18	Do.-		74-2- 0
4.	Do.-	1918-19	Do.-		74- 2- 0
5.	Do.-	1919-20	for Bazaars Rs.27-12-0 & Munsiff's Court.19-8-0	"	47- 4- 0
6.	Do.-	1920-21	Do.-	"	47- 4- 0
7.	Do.-	1921-22	Do.-	"	47- 4- 0
8.	Do.-	1922-23	for Bazzars Rs.39-12-0 & Munsiff's Court Rs.19-8-0	"	59- 4- 0

The above rates were fixed after going carefully by the then Deputy Commissioner with the Amildar.

According to this rate, Namely Rs.59-4-0 per annum, means:-

9.	for the year	1923-24	...	...	59- 4- 0
10.	Do.-	1924-25	...	...	59- 4- 0
11.	Do.-	1925-26	...	...	59- 4- 0
12.	Do.-	1926-27	...	...	59- 4- 0
13.	Do.-	1927-28	...	...	59- 4- 0
14.	Do.-	1928-29.	...	...	59- 4- 0
				Total:-	Rs. 778-14- 0

I am liable to pay Rs.778-14-0 which I am quite prepared to pay on your kindly going through the matter and passing your orders.

Thanking you in anticipation.

"C o p y"

(12

D.O. No:A.1.T.C.34/28-29 dated 25th April 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to Fakhrut-tujjar Sir Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait Kt.,

---

I am in receipt of your letters dated the 18/23rd A April 1929 and G.C.671 dated the 22nd April 1929 in the matter of your mohatarfa dues to the Sanitary Board, I find that the taxes since the year 1923-24 have been raised on account of new constructions and also on the ground that shops which were vacant previously were rented out in subsequent years. I shall send you a separate and full account showing how the demand made is justified.

I have ho objection to your paying the amount of Rs.778-14-0 immediately according to your account without prejudice to the excess which is due and for which details will be given to you.

I request an immediate ~~remit~~ remittance of Rs.778-14 at least.

"C o p y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait, Kt., Bangalore.

****

I wish to invite your reference to my D.O. letter No:A.1. C.34/28-29 dated 25th April 1929, requesting you to pay up Rs.778-14-0 towards Mohatarfa tax due by you to Robertsonpet Town as per your account, without prejudice to the question of excess due, for which I promised to furnish you details; in pursuance of it, I herein enclose, a statement of account showing details of the amount of mohatarfa taxes assessed and due from you together with the amounts calculated, each year, commencing from the year 1915-16 up to the beginning of the current year 1929-30.

2. The Mohatarfa tax is due from you in respect of two khates Viz: Khate No:31 standing in your own name and khate No:190 standing in the name of Micheson in respect of the Munsiff's Court buildings.

Khate No:31.

In regard to this khate, you will see from the statement of account attached, that there was an arrear of Rs.3-12-0 outstanding at the commencement of the official year 1916-17; due to the Conservancy Cess newly levied in the year 1915-16. During the years 1916-17 to 1918-1919 the tax was Rs.139-12-0 per year, according to the particulars given in the statement. In the year 1919-20, the tax was reduced to Rs.27-12-0, on account of the demoition of a number of buildings for executing repairs. This tax was continued till the end of the year 1921-22.

The tax of Rs.27-12-0 was raised to Rs.39-12-0 in the year 1922-23, the increase of Rs.12/- representing the tax on the printing shop newly opened during that year. During the year 1923-24 there was a similar increase of tax, of Rs.52/- Viz: Rs.12/- being the tax on the Bakery shop and Rs.40/- on ten vacant shops, making a total demand of Rs.91-12-0 in that year. This tax of Rs.91-12-0 continued for the year 1924-25. In the year 1925-26, there were certain reductions and additions to this tax as noted in the margin, making

a

Reductions:-

Tax on printing shop	Rs.12- 0- 0	
Tax on 1 vacant shop building.	" 4- 0- 0	
Vacant site tax.	" 12- 0- 0	
Total:-	Rs.28- 0- 0	

Additions:-

Tax on beer shop.	Rs.12- 0- 0	
Tax on 6 Marwari shops and Dwelling houses.	" 54- 0- Ø	
Total:-	Rs.66- 0- 0	

a total demand of Rs.129-12-0 in that year.

The further increase of tax by Rs.177-3-0 in the year 1926-27 was due to the revised rates of taxes sanctioned by Government in their Order -- No:2259-60/L.B.126-25-6 dated 23rd September 1926. According to this revision, building tax was to be assessed at 9 per cent on the rental value of the building and vacant site tax at 2 annas for every 80 sq.yards and the scales for the levy of Conservancy Cess and shop tax were to be as before. This revised tax was continued in the next year i.e., in 1927-28. As it was found in 1928-29, that there was short levy, on account of certain inaccurate notions in understanding the import of the above Government Order sanctioning the revised rates definite instructions were issued in the matter. The correct tax due on the buildings according to the revised rates was Rs.254/- and the correct tax on vacant sites, similarly was Rs.52-15-0. Conservancy Cess of Rs.36/- at the rate of Rs.3/- on houses paying an assessment of above Rs.100/- which had been omitted, on account of the above mis-apprehension, was added on during the year. Hence the correct total demand in the year 1928-29 was Rs.342-15-0. I may add that it is on account of mistake on the part of village officers, that Conservancy Cess at the rate of Rs.36/- was not at all levied during the year -- 1926-27 and 27-28 on the said khate.

In the circumstances explained, the total gross demand on this khate, from the year 1916-17 to the end of 1928-29 was Rs.1,812-5- Adding arrears of Rs.3-12-0 for the year 1915-16, the total amount due by you was Rs.1,816-1-0. Against this you have paid the following amounts.

Rs.15- 0- 0	in the year 1916-17,	
" 15- 0- 0	Do.- 1917-18,	
Rs.518-12-0	Do.- 1928-29	sent with your letter No:G.C.671 dated 22-4-29.
Total:- Rs.548-12-0.		

thus the balance due by you on this khate on 1-7-1929 was Rs.1,267-5-

Khate No:190:-

As regards arrears due by you on khate No:190 in the name of Michson in respect of the Munsiff's Court buildings, the tax was raised from Rs.15/to Rs.167-4-0 on account of revision of taxes on buildings, in the year 1926-27 based on actual rental realised by the building. Likewise the Conservancy Cess was also raised to Rs.36/- from Rs.4-8-0 according to the scale sanctioned. In this case also a net sum of Rs.31-8-0 ~~xxx~~ for each year during 1926-27 and 1927-28 was omitted to be brought on the demand under Conservancy cess ~~xxx~~ owing to a mistake. The total gross demand of tax on this building from 1916-17 to end of 1928-29 was Rs.741-12-0. Adding Rs.1-14-0 being the arrears as it stood on 1-7-1916 the total amount due by you was Rs.743-10-0. Against this, ~~totalxxx~~ you have paid a sum of Rs.259-2-0 on 22-4-1929 with letter No:G.C.671/22-4-1929.

The balance due by you on 1-7-1929 was therefore Rs.484-8-0.

Hence the total amount due by you on both the khates Nos:31 & 190, on 1-7-1929, was 1,751-13-0, after adjusting in the manner explained above a sum of Rs.777-14-0 being the realisation of your -- Cheque No:A.591912 of 27-4-1929 for Rs.778-14-0, minus a commission of Re.1/- for cashing it at the Oorgaum Branch of the Mysore Bank.

I may add, in this connection, that it is not clear from your letter dated 16th November 1928 how the Amildar of Bowringpet reduced the tax to Rs.52-12-0 per annum in the year 1917-18. If that is so, it is also not clear how a demand was made for Rs.27-12-0 only in the years 1919-20 to 1921-22. If you kindly send me a copy of the Amildar's letter dated 13-6-1913, to which you allude in the course of your said letter, I shall have that matter investigated again.

I hope the enclosed statement of account will make the position clear. I would now request you to kindly arrange to remit -- Rs.1,751-13-0, the balance amount, at a very early date, in full adjustment of the arrears, as they are outstanding for a long time. I hope you are quite well and look forward to seeing you shortly.

"C o p y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE DETAILS OF DEMAND DUE ON KHATE NO.31. SIR HAJEE ISMAIL SAIT, ROBERTSONPET TOWN.

Year.	JAMABANDY (DEMAND)																	BALANCE.
	HOUSE.			SHOP.									Total house & shop tax.	Conservancy Cess.	Vacant site tax.	Total Demand.	Total Collections.	
	Living.	Vacant.	Total.	Beer.	Backery.	Marwadi.	Printing.	Peace goods	Sundry repairs	vacant shops	vacant house.	Total.						
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1915-16.	24.		24.	12.	12.			69.	6.			99.	123.	3-12-0.	15-12-0.	142- 8.	138-12-	3-12
1916-17.	24.	..	24.	4.	12.	..	./	15.	60.	..	..	91.	115.	9- 0-0.	15-12-0.	139-12.	15-0.	124-12.
1917-18.	28.	..	28.	..	12.	..	..	15.	60.	..	..	87.	115.	9- 0-0.	15-12-0.	139-12-0	15-0.	124-12-
1918-19.	28.	..	28.	..	12.	..	..	15.	60.	..	..	87.	115.	9- 0-0.	15-12-0.	139-12-0	..	139-12.
1919-20.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8.	..	..	4.	12.	12.	..	15-12-0.	27-12.	..	27-12.
1920-21.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8.	..	..	4.	12.	12.	..	15-12-0.	27-12.	..	27-12.
1921-22.	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8.	..	..	4.	12.	12.	..	15-12-0.	27-12.	..	27-12.
1922-23.	..	..	..	..	..	..	12.	8.	..	..	4.	24.	24.	..	15-12-0.	39-12.	..	39-12.
1923-24.	..	..	..	..	12.	..	12.	..	..	40.	12.	76.	76.	..	15-12-0.	91-12.	..	91-12.
1924-25.	..	..	..	..	12.	..	12.	..	..	40.	12.	76.	76.	..	15-12-0.	91-12.	..	91-12.
1925-26.	..	..	..	12.	12.	54.	..	..	..	36.	..	114.	114.	..	15-12-0.	129-12.	..	129-12.
1926-27.	176.	..	176.	12.	12.	54.	..	..	..	...	..	78.	254.	..	52-15-0.	306-15.	..	306-15.
1927-28.	176.	..	176.	12.	12.	54.	..	..	..	..	..	78.	254.	..	52-15-0.	306-15-0	..	306-15.
1928-29.	254.	..	254.	..	..	..	..	..	...	..	..	254.	254	36- 0-0.	52-15-0.	342-15-0	518-12.	-175-12.

Total from 1916-17 to 1928-29	Rs.1,812-5-0.
Less Collections.	548-12-0.
Balance	Rs.1,263- 9-0.
Add arrears	3-12-0.
Total	Rs.1,267- 5-0.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

PRR.

KHATE NO: 190, MUNSIFS COURT BUILDINGS

Year.	Demand.			Collections.	Balance.
	Building.	Conservancy Cess.	Total.		
	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs
1915-1916.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0	17-10- 0	1-14- 0
1916-1917.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1917-1918.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1918-1919.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1919-1920	15-v0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1920-1921	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1921-1922	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1922-1923.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1923-24.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1924-1925.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1925-26.	15- 0- 0	4- 8- 0	19- 8- 0		19- 8- 0
1926-1927.	167- 4- 0	4- 8- 0	171-12- 0		171-12- 0
1927-1928.	167- 4- 0	4- 8- 0	171-12- 0		171-12- 0
1928-1929.	167- 4- 0	36- 0- 0	203- 4- 0	259- 2- 0	-55-14- 0
Total from 1916-17 to 28-29.	651-12- 0	90- 0- 0	741-12- 0	259- 2- 0	482-10- 0

Total balance from 1916-17 to 1928-29	482-10- 0
Add arrears due of 1915-1916.	1-14- 0
Total due on 1st July 1929.	484- 8- 0

"C o p y"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Letter No:534 dated 30th January 1930, from Fakrut-tujjar Sir Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait, Kt., to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

****

With reference to your letter No:A.1.C.34-28/29 and my son Mr. Sattar Sait's reply to same dated the 13th January 1930, although I am not well enough to attend to the office work, still as the matter of your claim is an important one, I do not want to keep this in abeyance. I take this opportunity to inform you

(1) Khate No:31 & 82:-

There seems to be some mistake. At any rate you say that a sum of Rs.5-8-0 is still left due for 1915-1916. The matter is not worth discussing, as the amount is very small.

(2) The balance due to the Government to the end of 1928-1929 in accordance with the settlement is Rs.778-14-0 which I offered to you in my letter of the 22nd April 1929 and which you have accepted and asked to pay Vide your letter No:D.O.A.1.C.34/28-29 dated 25th April 1929.

(3) In accordance with this letter, I have forwarded to you my cheque for the said sum of Rs.778-14-0 on the Bank of Mysore, Ltd., Bangalore in my letter of the 29th April 1929. Thus the matter is concluded -- finally and I do not wish here to recapitulate the previous correspondence between the authorities and myself. I therefore beg that you will kindly put the matter right and direct the Amildar to put the matter right.

Thanking you in anticipation,

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

(Camp) Oorgaum,
xxxx 14th February 30.

Dear Sir Hajee,

I am in receipt of your letter No:534 dated 30th January 1930.

I am surprised at the stand you have taken. You will note from my letter dated 25th April 1929, that I never accepted Rs.778-14-0 as a final adjustment of your account.

I promised in that letter to keep by the regular processes for the recovery of the balance until I had examined your khata and sent you full details showing how the demand was justified and pending your return from England, Vide your letter No:G.C.654 dated 18/23rd April 1929 from Taj Mahal Hotel, Bombay.

Now that full details have been furnished to you and you still withhold payment, I have passed on the papers to the Amildar, Bowringpet with the direction that the usual further steps for the recovery of the dues might be taken, without further reference to me.

I hope you are quite well.

Yours sincerely,
Sd. A. V. Ramanathan,

To

Fakhrut-tujjar Sir Khan Bahadur Hajee Ismail Sait, Kt.,
Exsex Lodge, Bangalore.

" C O P Y "

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section KB

File No. 150 – 29

Serial No. 2.

No. L8993

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

To

The Dep. Comr,
Kolar Dist

Date of issue..... 13-6-30

Sir,

Signed by..... M N D C Subbaraya Iyer

With reference to your
letter no. A I C. 34 / 28-29.
dy 15-2-1930 requesting that
the amount of House tax and
other cesses amounting to Rs
1757-13-0 due from Sir Hajee
Ismail Saib may be deducted
from the rent payable to him
by Govt and credited to the
account of the Sanj Bd, I
am directed to state that
law does not permit of the

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

proposed.
procedure. Similar action in other ways
may be taken for the recovery
of the amount of tax and other cesses
due from Sir Haji Ismail Sait.

Yours etc
[illegible]
for Secy to Govt

[illegible]
29.5.30.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. L8993 Dft
L D 150-29-2

Dated, Bangalore, 13-6- 1930

From

R. RANGA RAO, Esq., B. A., B. L.,
General ~~Officiating~~ *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. A.1.C. 34/28-29, dated 15th February 1930, requesting that the amount of House Tax and other cesses amounting to Rs. 1,751-13-0 due from Sir Hajee Ismail Sait may be deducted from the rent payable to him by Government and credited to the account of the Sanitary Board, I am directed to state that the Law does not permit of the procedure proposed. Suitable action in other ways may be taken for the recovery of the amount of House tax and other cesses due from Sir Hajee Ismail Sait.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ramaraya

For General Secretary to Government.